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III. INRODUCTION

With 3:29 left in the AFC Championship game, Ravens running back Willis McGahee caught a pass from Joe Flacco and started upfield. After taking two steps, McGahee was dropped by a violent helmet-to-helmet hit by Steelers’ safety Ryan Clark. Instant replay showed “McGahee’s body jarring violently, his neck sickeningly snapping back like a crash-test dummy.” As McGahee lay motionless, an eerie silence grew over Heinz field. Onlookers prayed for movement from the downed player. Even members of the Steelers feared the worst. Thankfully, these prayers were answered, as Baltimore’s team spokesman reported McGahee was “neurologically intact” and would be monitored in a Pittsburgh hospital overnight. McGahee later confirmed, “Everything is O.K. The M.R.I. and the CAT scan checked out good. I was scared, but I didn’t know how serious it was. It was pretty intense.” McGahee was diagnosed with a concussion and said his neck was sore. “I didn’t even see him coming,” said McGahee. “I blacked out. I woke up when they were taking my face mask off.”

McGahee wasn’t the only Raven who sustained a concussion during the AFC Championship game. Cornerback Corey Ivy suffered one from a crackback block.

---


5 Wise, supra note 4.

6 Id.

7 Id. “I started getting a little emotional when I saw him down there and the cart was coming out,” said Ben Roethlisberger. Id.

8 Id.

9 Full Recovery, supra note 3.

10 Id.

11 Id.

from wideout Limas Sweed, and Daren Stone sustained one during the opening kickoff after colliding with Steelers’ Carey Davis. In contrast to McGahee however, Stone was allowed to return to the game by team officials and coaches.

“For every concussion we see occurring at the professional sports level, there are tens of thousands of injuries at the high school level and below,” said Dr. Mickey Collins, the Assistant Director of the Sports Medicine Concussion Program at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. In 2007 alone, three high school athletes died while sustaining head injuries in football. On September 18, 2008, sixteen year old linebacker, Ryne Dougherty, sustained a concussion during football

---

13 Teaching the Crack Back Block, http://www.bign2football.com/crack_back_block.htm (last visited Sept. 1, 2009) [hereinafter Teaching Crackback]. A crackback block is “generally defined as a block made on an inside defender against the flow of the play.” Id. The defender is typically unaware that the collision is coming. Id. For demonstrations of a crackback blocks; see also Blocking 101: Da Crack Back, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=saTS1vb8QGl&feature=related; CIF football Playoffs: LB Poly vs Lakewood Crack-back Block 2008, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H29n8s1hjkY (last visited Sept. 1, 2009); Crack Back, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wMkJLEu1XC0&feature=related (last visited Sept. 1, 2009); Kenny Britt Crackback Block, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4HYzZzufMRU (last visited Sept. 1, 2009). Figure 1 illustrates a play that contains a pitch to the right, with two crackbacks. Teaching Crackback, supra note 13. The defensive players are marked by letters and the offensive players are designated by the “O”s.” Id. The E (defensive-end) and S (safety) are filled in to show where the two “cracks are taking place on this one back option play.” Id.

---

14 See Big Hit, supra note 12.

15 Wise, supra note 3.


practice.\textsuperscript{19} After a normal CT scan and sitting out for the mandated period, he returned to the field, only to collapse and die after sustaining a brain hemorrhage.\textsuperscript{20} This problem is prevalent throughout our nation’s athletic institutions. Since 1945, there have been 510 head and spine fatalities while playing football.\textsuperscript{21}

Veteran sports agent Leigh Steinberg warned that collegiate athletes are younger, meaning there are “players who are 17 and 18 whose brains are still in formation. The potential damage to a younger brain could be devastating.”\textsuperscript{22} This concern runs an even greater risk for those competing in high school and youth sports. The Center for Disease Control estimates a minimum of 96,000 children aged 5 to 18 experience sports-related concussions annually in the United States.\textsuperscript{23} This can be particularly


Figure 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Head Frequency</th>
<th>Head Percent</th>
<th>Cervical Spine Frequency</th>
<th>Cervical Spine Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1945-1954</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955-1964</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1974</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-1984</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-1994</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-2004</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See generally Young Players, Serious Injuries, N.Y. TIMES, September 16, 2007, at B16, available at http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2007/09/16/sports/20070916_CONCUSSION_GRAPHIC.html# (describing cases of 50 high school aged and younger football players that have been killed or have sustained serious head injuries on the field since 1997).

\textsuperscript{22} Pete Thamel, Clarity Lacking in Discipline for Hits to Head, N.Y. TIMES, Nov. 12, 2007, at B14, available at http://nytimes.com/200711/12/sports/ncaafootball/12colleges.html.

damaging because adolescent brain tissue is still developing. In addition, high school athletes typically receive “less capable medical care, or none at all.” Poor management of high school player’s concussions isn’t just a football issue. It’s a matter of public health,” warned Robert Sallis, president of the American College of Sports Medicine.

In order to effectively manage this public health concern, it is imperative to gain an understanding of the issues surrounding head injuries in sporting events. This Note will discuss the increasing frequency and dangers of concussions in amateur and professional football. It will suggest that athletes, schools, coaches, and doctors must become more educated on the causes and dangers of concussions in order to ensure the safety of participants. In order to do so, this Note introduces a medical overview of concussions, while briefly outlining the diagnosis, long-term effects, and management of concussions. Part III discusses the legal theories athletes and their families may pursue, as well as potential defenses the defendants might utilize. Part IV describes what legislatures and amateur and professional sports organizations are doing in an attempt to combat the epidemic. Part V offers potential solutions for reform, including increasing congressional funding to help amateur organizations offset the rising costs of effective concussion management.

II. MEDICAL OVERVIEW OF CONCUSSIONS

According to the International Convention of Concussion in Sports, a “[c]oncussion is defined as a complex pathophysiological process affecting the brain, induced by traumatic biomechanical forces.” The common features that may be used in the definition of concussion include:

24 Id.
26 Id.
27 Id.
28 See infra Section II.
29 See infra Section V.
30 See infra Section II.B.
31 See infra Section II.E.
32 See infra Section II.C.
33 See infra Section III.
34 See infra Section IV.A.
35 See infra Section IV.B and Section IV.C.
36 See infra Section IV.D.
37 See infra Section V.
(1) may be caused by a direct blow to the head, face, neck, or elsewhere on the body with an "impulsive" force transmitted to the head.
(2) typically results in the rapid onset of short lived impairment of neurological function that resolves spontaneously.
(3) may result in neuropathological changes but the acute clinical symptoms largely reflect a functional disturbance rather than structural injury.
(4) results in a graded set of clinical syndromes that may or may not involve loss of consciousness. Resolution of the clinical and cognitive symptoms typically follows a sequential course.
(5) typically associated with grossly normal structural neuroimaging studies.39

"Even what seems to be a mild bump or blow to the head can be serious."40 According to research conducted by the NFL’s Committee on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (MTBI), a "concussion in professional football involves a mean impact velocity of 9.3 m/second (20.8 mph) and a head velocity change of 7.222 m/second (16.1 mph)." To put this finding into perspective, they explain car accidents usually "involve impact durations of less than 6 m/sec for head impacts."42

It is estimated that 3.8 million sports and recreation related concussions occur each year in the US.43 Conservative estimates indicate that more than 300,000 sport-related concussions occur each year in the United States,44 but that figure only represents head injuries resulting in hospital admissions.45 An equal quantity of MTBIs are treated by general practitioners and do not result in hospital admission.46 In contrast to the decline of other serious injuries over the past ten years, a study by the Center for Injury Research and Policy in Columbus, Ohio determined that the

39 See also Tim Anderson, Marcus Heitger, & A. D. Macleod, Concussion and Mild Head Injury, 6 PRACT. NEUROLOGY 342, 343 (2006) (While the terms concussion, mild head injury, and traumatic brain injury technically have different definitions, in the context of clinical research and practice, they are used interchangeably) [hereinafter Concussion].


42 Id.


46 P. McCrory, et al., Can We Manage Sport Related Concussion in Children the Same as in Adults? 38 BRIT. J. SPORTS MED. 516, 516 (2004) [hereinafter Manage in Children].
incidence of concussions has doubled.\textsuperscript{47} It is projected that sports-related concussions comprise of twenty percent of the mild head injuries in the US.\textsuperscript{48} Although concussions can occur in any sport or leisure activity, the potential for concussions is greatest in collision sports.\textsuperscript{49} In collision sports, athletes “purposely hit or collide with each other or with inanimate objects (including the ground) with great force.”\textsuperscript{50} Approximately 60,000 concussions occur at the high school level, the majority of which are sustained during football activities.\textsuperscript{51}

\textit{A. Grades of Concussions}

There are over forty-one methods employed to measure the severity or grade of a MTBI,\textsuperscript{52} and no general consensus among the profession on which approach is the best.\textsuperscript{53} This has become problematic, as the return-to-play decision is frequently based on the grade of concussion.\textsuperscript{54}

Symptoms of concussions vary, but may include: headache, nausea, amnesia, balance problems, dizziness, fatigue, irregular sleeping patterns (i.e. trouble falling asleep, sleeping more than usual, sleeping less than usual, drowsiness), irritability, sensitivity to light, sensitivity to noise, increased sadness, nervousness, feeling more emotional, numbness or tingling, feeling slowed down, sensation of being “in a fog,” difficulty with concentration, difficulty with memory, and visual problems.\textsuperscript{55} Because of the difference in how the brain tolerates biomechanical forces in adults and children, children show symptoms of concussions after greater impact of force than adults.\textsuperscript{56} In fact, a “two to three fold greater impact force is required to produce clinical symptoms in children,”\textsuperscript{57} resulting from a combination of factors, including


\textsuperscript{51} Majerske et al., \textit{supra} note 43 at 265.

\textsuperscript{52} Anderson et al., Concussion, \textit{supra} note 39.


\textsuperscript{54} \textit{Id.} at 246; \textit{see also} NAT’L CTR. FOR INJURY PREVENTION & CONTROL, CTR. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, \textit{Report to Congress on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Steps to Prevent a Serious Public Health Problem} (2003), available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/tbi/mtbi/mtbiereport.pdf (discussing the damaging effects of TBI on a child’s health and development, and ramifications stemming from no standardized, efficient method to monitor the health of children after sustaining a TBI).

\textsuperscript{55} Majerske et al., \textit{supra} note 43, at 265.

\textsuperscript{56} McCrory et al., \textit{Manage in Children}, \textit{supra} note 46.

\textsuperscript{57} \textit{Id.} at 516.
“an age dependent physiological response to mechanical stress, the differing geometry of the skull and brain, and the constitutive structural properties of the head.”

B. Diagnosis

Diagnosis of concussions can be a challenge, as athletes seldom self-report concussive symptoms. This is due in part because athletes are not always aware of the symptoms. When anonymously surveyed, fifteen percent of high school football players reported sustaining concussions. This number jumped to close to fifty percent when descriptions of the symptoms were listed and the word concussion was omitted; thirty-five percent admitted to two or more.

Not only have studies shown that athletes are not aware of the ramifications from ignoring head injuries, but also that athletes want to continue playing because they do not want to be perceived as weak. This lack of knowledge and “He-man” mentality is not confined to high school football; it is prevalent throughout athletics. Researchers from a study of 461 male and female competitive athletes at the University of Akron found that thirty-two percent reported experiencing a blow to the head that caused dizziness and over twenty-five percent confirmed symptoms

58 Id.


60 Schwarz, Silence, supra note 25.

61 Id.

62 Id.

63 Id. When asked if high school senior Kelby Jasmon would report a concussion to a coach or trainer, he replied, “No chance. It’s not dangerous to play with a concussion. You’ve got to sacrifice for the sake of the team. The only way I come out is on a stretcher.” Id. “Our coaches would take us out in a second. So why would we tell them?” stated lineman Matt Selvaffio. Id. Senior quarterback Garrick Jones said despite being knocked unconscious during a game, he got up and threw another pass. Id. “I couldn’t come out. [My team needed me],” Jones said. “You have to keep playing until you can’t.” Id. Josh Bailey, a senior safety said he suffered a concussion during a game, but no one noticed. Id. “Football, it’s all about contact; you kind of have to suck it up, because you’re going to feel pain. That’s what the game is about. If you don’t put yourself through that, you don’t really love the game.” Id.

64 See generally Ray Glier, Church Has Mild Concussion, but He Plans Quick Return, N.Y. TIMES, May 22, 2008, D5, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2008/05/22/sports/baseball/22shea.html?scp=30&sq=concussion&st=cse [hereinafter Church]. New York Mets outfielder, Ryan Church lay motionless on the second base after colliding with the Atlanta Braves’ shortstop. “I’ll take a day,” Church said. “It wasn’t a concussion. When the CAT scan comes back and the doctor tells you it’s normal, I don’t know that you can call it a concussion,” he later told reporters. After Church was told by his manager that he did have a concussion, he relayed the message to the reporters, but still assured them he wouldn’t miss any games because the team had been struggling. Id. There are a few players who acknowledge injuries. See, e.g., note 16. “It’s just a sprained knee,” Steelers receiver Hines Ward said. “They said it’s nothing structurally. I was going to come back, but I didn’t want to come back at 75 or 80 percent. It gave an opportunity for other guys to step up and they did that.” Id.
of seeing stars, nausea or vomiting, and head pain. Written responses to survey questions were used to identify signs and symptoms of concussions. Thirty percent of the athletes surveyed continued to play in spite of the symptoms. The study further revealed fifty-six percent had no knowledge of the consequences of a head injury.

To compound the problems of self-reporting, traditional structural neuroimaging techniques, such as CTs, MRIs, and EEGs, are not helpful in the diagnosis of a concussion, as concussions are metabolic injuries. These tests typically come back normal, even in an athlete who has suffered a severe concussion. It is recommended that coaches, trainers, and parents become aware of the warning signs to aid athletes in recognizing these head injuries. G. Robert Nugent, a neurosurgeon specializing in football sports medicine, recommends more practical symptom advice for coaches, trainers, and parents:

A detached or vacant facial expression; inappropriate responses and irrelevant statements; the repeating of statements and questions; unexpected emotional reactions such as crying; a delay in responding to commands; or an unrealistic assurance that all is ‘ok.’ More obvious

---

66 Id.
67 Id.
68 Id.
70 See Impact Background, supra note 68.
problems include disorientation, difficulty reversing a series of digits and serial subtractions, and evidence of amnesia.\(^7\)

C. Second-Impact Syndrome

The result of improper diagnosis can be devastating and deadly. Since 1984, second-impact syndrome has been recognized as a rare, but often fatal complication, occurring predominately in children and teenagers, after sustaining a second head injury before symptoms from the first have subsided.\(^7\) The second injury causes cerebral edema and brainstem herniation, which may lead to “collapse and death within minutes.”\(^7\) According to Dr. Ruben Tenorio, a ringside physician and leading authority on second-impact syndrome in San Antonio, the mortality rate for second-impact syndrome is fifty percent, while the rate of disability stemming from the disorder is almost one hundred percent.\(^7\) In recent years however, many experts have begun to speculate over the existence of second-impact syndrome. Studies have increasingly found a lack of conclusive evidence of the syndrome,\(^7\) and it “is more likely that a single impact of any severity may result in this rare complication,” especially in the developing brain.\(^7\)

D. Long-term Effects of Concussions

While the full extent of long-term effects of concussions is unknown, there seems to be a strong connection between serious head injury and future risk of

\(^{72}\) G. R. Nugent, Reflections on 40 Years as a Sideline Physician, 21 NEUROSURGICAL FOCUS 1, 4 (2006).


\(^{75}\) J. Whisler, Fighting for Safety, SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS, http://www.mysanantonio.com/sports/MYA27_01C_BOImpact27a_104207aa.html.html, September 8, 2007 (“Second-impact syndrome carries a 50 percent mortality rate. But it carries a 100 percent morbidity rate, which means everyone who suffers from it receives some sort of lifelong damage.”)

\(^{76}\) See Paul. R. McCrory & S. F. Berkovic, Second Impact Syndrome, 50 NEUROLOGY 677 (1998) (finding no documented second impact after re-analyzing cases previously reported as those diagnosed with second-impact syndrome).

\(^{77}\) McCrory et al., Manage in Children, supra note 45. See also Nugent, supra note 71, at 5 (hypothesizing that second-impact syndrome is really “more of a first-impact syndrome that is unique to injury in the young brain”).
Alzheimer’s. An analysis of the brain tissue of four NFL players and one professional wrestler finding abnormal proteins typical of an 80- or 90-year-old with dementia has led a dozen athletes, including six NFL players, to donate their brains to Boston University’s Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy for research on the long-term effects of concussions. While the NFL disputes this finding, there is credible evidence that it could be accurate. Dr. Bennet Omalu, the forensic neuropathologist who conducted the autopsies on the deceased athletes, responded to the NFL’s criticisms, and questioned the Committee’s selection of “experts.”

Dr. Pellman, Casson, and Viano attacked us, claiming that we seriously misinterpreted the neuropathological findings in the case and that we had a complete misunderstanding of the relevant medical literature. Neither Dr. Pellman, Dr. Casson, nor Dr. Viano was a neuropathologist. I wondered how doctors who were not neuropathologists could interpret neuropathological findings better than neuropathologists, especially when these doctors did not think that it was prudent to appoint a neuropathologist to their committee, even in an advisory capacity.

After analyzing the brain tissue of former Western Illinois wide receiver Mike Borich, who overdosed at the age of 42, Dr. Ann McKee, an associate professor of neurology and pathology at the Boston University School of Medicine and co-director of its Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy is concerned about

---


79 Dr. Omalu and Dr. Julian Bailes have since revealed the seventh and eight cases of Encephalopathy (C.T.E.) that were found in NFL players Gerald Small, 52, and Curtis Whitley, 39. Alan Schwarz, Concussion Trauma Risk Seen in Amateur Athlete, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 22, 2009, B14 available at http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/22/sports/ncaafootball/22 concussions.html?scp=2&sq=concussion&st=cse [hereinafter Amateur Risk].


83 See OMALU, supra note 79, at 40-41.

84 Id.
the concussive impact on developing brains.\textsuperscript{85} Borich never played in the NFL, indicating that collegiate and high school athletes could be subjected to similar risks as professionals.\textsuperscript{86}

The long-term effects of MTBIs are serious and real for many former athletes.\textsuperscript{87} As stated by veteran sports agent Leigh Steinberg at the concussion summit in Marina Del Ray, California, “It's one thing to go out and play football and understand that when you turn 40, you can bend over to pick up your child and have aches and pains. It's another thing to bend down and not be able to identify that child.”\textsuperscript{88} What makes this even scarier is the lack of empirical data on the long-term effects of concussions for youths.

\textbf{E. Management of Concussions}

A concussion sets off a release of excitatory neurotransmitters, which result in cellular membrane disruption and ionic imbalances. Increasing amounts of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) are required in an attempt to correct these ionic imbalances, and an increase in glucose metabolism is observed within the first 24 hours after concussion. This increased glucose metabolism, combined with an initial decrease in cerebral blood flow, results in a mismatch between the energy required and that available to brain structures. The increase in glucose metabolism is followed by a period of reduced glucose uptake and metabolism, which may last for as long as 1 month. Exercise also modulates glucose uptake in the brain and increases cortisol in a dose-dependent manner, both of which could worsen the neuronal energy mismatch after concussion.\textsuperscript{89}

It is therefore imperative to develop an effective post-concussion management plan. Research suggests that antiquated grading systems and return-to-play guidelines be abandoned in favor of more individualized assessment and management.\textsuperscript{90} In determining when to return to the field, athletes should consult a health care

\begin{footnotes}
\item[85] Schwarz, \textit{Amateur Risk}, supra note 78. McKee attributes Borich's problems with depression and drugs to the severe encephalopathy found because the disease kills cells in the cortex responsible for judgment and mood moderation. \textit{Id}.
\item[86] \textit{Id}.
\item[89] Majerske et al., supra note 43, at 265-66.
\end{footnotes}
professional and gradually restore to normal physical and academic activities.\textsuperscript{91} Athletes with a history of serious head trauma or abnormality and those with a history of MTBI and/or complex concussions may participate in collision or contact sports with proper evaluation by a medical professional.\textsuperscript{92} A conservative approach should be exercised in concussion management, including not participating in athletics while symptoms remain and gradually returning to full activity.\textsuperscript{93} A recent study revealed high school athletes suffer from prolonged memory dysfunction compared with college athletes, and should therefore be treated more conservatively.\textsuperscript{94}

To aid in the difficulty of concussion diagnosis, experts at University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) have developed a computer-based test, ImPACT (Immediate Postconcussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing).\textsuperscript{95} ImPACT is a 20-minute test battery that evaluates and documents multiple aspects of brain function, including impulse control, sustained attention, visual-motor processing speed, visual and verbal memory, working memory, selective attention, reaction time, and response variability.\textsuperscript{96} It is recommended that baseline tests be administered to all athletes at the start of a season, and post-injury tests conducted after a potential concussion has occurred.\textsuperscript{97} In order to make a “decision about whether cognition has changed from baseline following concussion,” the individuals reading the tests must be knowledgeable of how performance may change on specific tests if one has sustained a MTBI.\textsuperscript{98} Training workshops are available to assist athletic trainers in using the ImPACT software.\textsuperscript{99}

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\bibitem{91} Id.
\bibitem{97} Id. at 4.
\bibitem{98} McCrory et al., \textit{Manage in Children}, \textit{supra} note 45, at 517.
\bibitem{99} ImpactTest.com, \textit{ImPACT}, http://www.impacttestoffice.com/ (then follow “REGISTER FOR OUR TRAINING SESSIONS”). Training workshops provided to ATC’s for $150. \textit{Id}.
\end{thebibliography}
With ImPACT software packages ranging from $500 to $1,000, schools and athletic organizations may choose the package that best fits their needs and their budget.\footnote{There are currently two versions of the ImPACT test: the “online version” and the “desktop version”. Id. Both require yearly subscriptions and athletic departments can choose between three packages. Id. The least expensive package is $500 per school/organization per year. Id. During the first year, up to 300 athletes can get baseline tests, and 30 post-injury tests are available. Id. If schools choose this option, after the first year, 150 baseline tests and 30 post-injury tests will be added. Id. For $750 per year, up to 600 athletes can get baseline testing, with 60 post-injury tests available. Id. After the first year, schools will get an additional 300 baseline tests and 60 post-injury tests. Id. The final package is $1,000 per year. Id. If schools choose this option, they can administer up to 1,000 baseline tests to athletes, and 100 post-injury tests are available in the first year. Id. For the following years, an additional 500 baseline tests and 100 post-injury tests are available. Id. Regardless of the package that schools/organizations choose, they may purchase additional tests on an as-needed basis. Id. Baseline tests are $2 each and must be purchased in increments of 50: $100 for 50, $200 for 100, $400 for 200. Id. Post-injury tests are $10 each and must be purchased in increments of 10: $100 for 10, $200 for 20, $300 for 30. Id.} Coaches and trainers also have the option to have the “Sideline ImPACT” added to their PDA for an extra $100.\footnote{Corrin, supra note 95; see also ImpactTest.com, Complete List of Users, http://www.impacttest.com/currentusers.php.} Over 1,000 high schools, 300 colleges, 250 sports medicine centers, and professional teams are using the technology.\footnote{See infra Section III.}

III. LEGAL ISSUES STEMMING FROM CONCUSSIONS

A. Liability Overview

Head injuries often result in lawsuits by injured athletes, their families and/or estates against other players, coaches, school districts, sports associations (including the NCAA, NFHS, and NFL), athletic trainers, physicians, helmet manufacturers, helmet reconditioners, and retailers.\footnote{See infra Section III.B.} Lawsuits are typically brought under theories of negligence,\footnote{See infra Section III.C.} breach of implied or express warranties,\footnote{See infra Section III.D.} and strict liability.\footnote{See infra Section III.B.2.} To shield themselves from liability, defendants may rely on assumption of risk,\footnote{See infra Section III.B.3.} comparative negligence, contributory negligence, and sovereign immunity.\footnote{Timothy Davis, Tort Liability of Coaches for Injuries to Professional Athletes: Overcoming Policy and Doctrinal Barriers, 76 U.M.K.C. L. REV. 571 (2008).} In addition, in the professional context, a plaintiff’s claims may be precluded by workers’ compensation systems, federal preemption, and mandatory arbitration procedures outlined in players and teams collective bargaining agreements.\footnote{Id.}
B. Negligence

1. Coaches and Co-participants

In order for a plaintiff to prevail in a typical negligence action, he or she must allege sufficient facts to prove: (1) the defendant had a duty to protect the plaintiff, (2) the defendant breached that duty, (3) the plaintiff was actually injured as a result of the defendant’s actions, and (4) the breach was the proximate cause of the plaintiff’s injury. However, in contact sports, the majority of jurisdictions recognize an exception to this ordinary care standard in an effort to “strike a balance between protecting participants in sporting activities and the voluntary nature of participation in games where physical contact is inherent and inevitable.” The general rule is that coaches and co-participants are not liable in negligence for injuries to athletes that result from risks inherent to the sport at issue. The rationale behind this recklessness standard is that ordinary negligence would chill athletic competition and could encourage litigation “based on an inadvertent violation of a contest rule.” Furthermore, the risk of injury and rule violations are “common and inherent” in sports.

In Karas v. Strevell, the plaintiff brought suit on behalf of his minor son, who sustained serious injury to his neck and head during a hockey game. Plaintiff alleged the players’ conduct on the opposing team was a reckless, willful, and/or wanton disregard for the minor’s safety, when they body checked him from behind, despite a rule prohibiting the conduct. Plaintiff also brought suit against the opposing team, the association of the officials, and the hockey league for negligently and recklessly causing the injury. In addition, the plaintiff claimed the league and

---


114 Jaworski v. Kiernan, 696 A.2d 332, 338 (Conn. 1997) (“If simple negligence were adopted as the standard of care [in athletic contests], every punter with whom contact is made, every midfielder high stuck, every basketball player fouled, every batter struck by a pitch, and every hockey player tripped would have the ingredients for a lawsuit if injury resulted.”) (alteration to the original in the quoted text); see also Savino v. Robertson, 652 N.E.2d 1240, 1245 (Ill. App. Ct. 1995).

115 Mark v. Moser, 746 N.E.2d 410, 419 (Ind. Ct. App. 2001). See also Gauvin, 537 N.E.2d at 97 (holding defendant had not acted willfully, wantonly, or recklessly when he “butt-ended” plaintiff in the abdomen, in violation of a safety rule, causing plaintiff to undergo surgery and subsequently lose his spleen).


117 Id. at 124-25.

118 Id. at 124.
officials’ association were liable for neglecting to enforce the rule that resulted in the
injury. In dismissing the negligence claims, the court held that the contact sports
exception was applicable not only to co-participants, but also to the hockey league,
the officials’ organization, and the amateur hockey association. The court then
determined that the rule violations in question were an “inherent, fundamental part of
the sport,” and therefore did not rise to the level of willful and wanton conduct.

2. Assumption of Risk

In Knight v. Jewett, the Court held the plaintiff, who sustained a broken finger by
the defendant during a touch football game, did not have a cause of action when the
injury arose from “ordinary careless conduct committed during the sport.” In
making its decision, the court relied on the primary assumption of risk doctrine.

While a participant's "consent" to join in a sporting activity is not a waiver
of all rules infractions, nonetheless a professional clearly understands the
usual incidents of competition resulting from carelessness, particularly
those which result from the customarily accepted method of playing the
sport, and accepts them. They are within the known, apparent and
foreseeable dangers of the sport and not actionable.

Because head injuries are an inherent risk from playing football, assumption of risk
will preclude most plaintiffs from recovery for such injuries.

3. School Districts

The general rule is that a public school cannot be held liable for injuries sustained
during a school sporting event. In Churilla v. School Dist. For City of East
Detroit, the court held a public school is entitled to immunity when operating its

\[\text{Id. at 124.}\]

\[\text{Id. at 125. The court relied on Kahn v. East Side Union High School District, which
held a coach may be liable for breach of a duty of care to a student athlete “only if the
instructor intentionally injures the student or engages in conduct that is reckless in the sense
that it is 'totally outside the range of the ordinary activity' involved in teaching or coaching the
sport.” Kahn, 75 P.3d 30, 32-33 (Cal. 2003).}\]

\[\text{Id. at 132. The court noted that in “full-contact sports such as ice
hockey and tackle football where physical contact between players is not simply an
unavoidable by product of vigorous play, but is a fundamental part of the way the game is
played.”} \text{Id.; see also D. Lazaroff, Torts & Sports, 7 U. MIAMI ENT. & SPORTS L. REV. 191, 213 (1990)} \text{(stating that the infliction of pain with the knowledge of danger is inherent in
certain sports such as football and hockey).}\]

\[\text{Id. at 712.}\]

\[\text{Id. at 712.}\]

\[\text{Turcotte v. Fell, 502 N.E.2d 964, 970 (N.Y. 1986).}\]

football game was part of the school's physical education program. The function is inherently
educational, a governmental function without doubt. … The incidental profit or revenue does
not operate to change the character of that function.”).}\]
football program, and therefore cannot be liable when a student suffered serious injury after making contact with a team member during practice. The court reasoned that the operation of a public school requires substantial funding from the state, and in allowing athletic competition, it is executing a governmental function “vested in it by law.”

The rationale includes policy concerns: participants accept an assumption of risk when engaging in the sport, maintaining athletic teams is a vital part of physical education, and various governmental agencies are protected by immunity. In the past, plaintiffs have recovered on the following theories: permitting injured or unfit players to compete; unsafe facilities or equipment; administration of negligent medical care; and failure to provide proper supervision or training.

127 Id. at 382.
129 See Morris v. Union High Sch. Dist., 294 P. 998, 999 (Wash. 1931) (allowing claim against a school district to proceed where a coach should have known that plaintiff’s minor son had not recovered after sustaining injury to his back and spine during practice, and where a coach persuaded and coerced the minor to return to play). See also Jarreau v. Orleans Parish Sch. Bd., 600 So.2d 1389, 1393 (La. Ct. App. 1992) (holding a coach and team trainer liable for a football player's injuries where player was allowed continue playing despite a known injury to the athlete’s wrist).
130 See Cerny v. Cedar Bluffs Junior/Senior Pub. Sch., 679 N.W.2d 198, 205 (Neb. 2004) (noting a coach can be held liable for breaching the reasonably prudent coach standard when allowing an injured athlete to return to play before a medical determination of player’s fitness to return to play).
132 Kleinknecht v. Gettysburg Coll., 989 F.2d 1360, 1370 (3rd Cir. 1993) (holding a university liable when administering negligent emergency medical assistance procedures because the possibility of severe and life-threatening injury is reasonably foreseeable during contact sports).
133 Carabba v. Anacortes Sch. Dist. No. 104, 435 P.2d 936, 946 (Wash. 1967) (noting that school districts owe student athletes a duty to provide nonnegligent supervision).
134 Scott Rapides Parish Sch. Bd., 732 So.2d 749, 755 (La. Ct. App. 1999) (finding a school district liable for negligence when a coach did not teach at least the basic technical skills to instruct a student who was injured while attempting a long jump).
C. Express and Implied Warranties

Because a helmet is a “good,” liability for defective helmets may arise from express or implied warranties under the Universal Commercial Code (UCC). An express warranty arises when a seller makes a statement or engages in conduct to create buyer expectations about the characteristics or performance of the goods. The plaintiff in Bell Sports, Inc. v. Yarusso purchased a helmet manufactured by the defendant because it was advertised in its manual as being especially protective for off-road use. Plaintiff Yarusso flipped over his handle bars and landed on his head after hitting a mogul on an off-road course. The impact rendered the plaintiff a quadriplegic. In finding that defendant Bell Sports had breached an express warranty that proximately caused the Yarusso’s paralysis, the Court reasoned “express warranties can arise from similar textual representations found in owners’ manuals even where not specifically labeled as such.” In order to prevent liability for an express warranty, a helmet manufacturer should avoid affirmative statements and conduct that might create it.

135 U.C.C. § 2-103(1)(k) states in pertinent part that the definition of a “goods” is “all things that are movable at the time of identification to a contract for sale. The term includes future goods, specially manufactured goods…” U.C.C. § 2-103 (1977).


137 U.C.C. § 2-313 states in pertinent part:

(1) Express warranties by the seller are created as follows:

(a) Any affirmation of fact or promise made by the seller to the buyer which relates to the goods and becomes part of the basis of the bargain creates an express warranty that the goods shall conform to the affirmation for promise.

(b) Any description of the goods which is made part of the basis of the bargain creates an express warranty that the goods shall conform to the description.

(c) Any sample or model which is made part of the basis of the bargain creates an express warranty that the whole of the goods shall conform to the sample or model.

(2) It is not necessary to the creation of an express warranty that the seller use formal words such as "warrant" or "guarantee" or that he have a specific intention to make a warranty, but an affirmation merely of the value of the goods or a statement purporting to be merely the seller's opinion or commendation of the goods does not create a warranty.


(3) Bell Sports, Inc. v. Yarusso, 759 A.2d 582, 593 (Del. 2000).

138 Id. at 584.

139 Id.

140 Id. at 593.

141 See U.C.C. § 2-316(1):

Words or conduct relevant to the creation of an express warranty and words or conduct tending to negate or limit warranty shall be construed wherever reasonable as consistent with each other; but subject to the provisions of this Article on parol or extrinsic evidence (§ 2-202) negation or limitation is inoperative to the extent that such construction is unreasonable. U.C.C. § 2-316 (1977).
Implied warranties are automatically incorporated into a sales contract unless the seller takes affirmative action to remove them. Under § 2-314, helmets must be fit for the ordinary purpose for which they were intended. In order for § 2-314 to apply, the seller must be a “merchant with respect to goods of that kind.” For a plaintiff to be protected from § 2-315, a seller must, at the time of contracting, have “reason to know of the particular purpose for which the goods are required.” In addition, the buyer must rely on the seller’s skill or judgment in selecting the goods. Even if a manufacturer uses conspicuous language to disclaim any implied warranties, liability may be imposed based on a strict liability in tort theory.

D. Strict Liability

Strict liability holds manufacturers and retailers liable for the sale of defective products that subsequently cause harm to consumers. Traditionally, strict products liability stemmed from defective manufacture and design, and failure to warn. Restatement § 402A provides for strict liability in tort for those who sell a product in a defective condition, unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer or his property. The seller must sell the products in the ordinary course of his

---


144 U.C.C § 2-314 states:

Unless excluded or modified (Section 2-316), a warranty that the goods shall be merchantable is implied in a contract for their sale if the seller is a merchant with respect to goods of that kind.

Goods to be merchantable must be at least such as

(a) pass without objection in the trade under the contract description; and
(b) in the case of fungible goods, are of fair average quality within the description; and
(c) are fit of the ordinary purposes for with such goods are used; and
(d) run, within the variations permitted by the agreement, of even kind, quality and quantity within each unit and among all units involved; and
(e) are adequately contained, packaged, and labeled as the agreement may require; and
(f) conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label if any.


145 U.C.C. § 2-314(1) (1977). A merchant is defined as a person that deals in goods of the kind or otherwise holds itself out by occupation as having knowledge or skill peculiar to the practices or goods involved in the transaction or to which the knowledge or skill may be attributed by the person's employment of an agent or broker or other intermediary that holds itself out by occupation as having the knowledge or skill. U.C.C. § 2-104(1) (1977).


149 Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A (1965).

business. Comment i to § 402A suggests utilizing a “consumer expectations” standard when deciding whether a product is “unreasonably dangerous.”

In Rawlings Sporting Goods Co. v. Daniels, the court held that manufacturers have "a duty to warn of dangers in the use of its product of which it knows or should know." Rawlings dealt with a high school quarterback who was left with severe permanent brain damage after colliding helmet-to-helmet with a teammate during practice. The collision caused the helmet to indent one and one-half to two inches. With the implementation of NOCSAE testing standards, it is unlikely that this scenario would occur today. However, the possibility remains.

The economic cost of lawsuits is passed onto many consumer goods and services. Many estimate that up to fifty percent of the cost of a football helmet is a “hidden tort tax” as a result of litigation. Consequently, strict products liability has evolved in recent years. The 3rd Restatement of Torts retains strict liability only for

---

152 RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 402A (1965) provides:

(1) One who sells any product in a defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer or to his property is subject to liability for physical harm thereby caused to the ultimate user or consumer, or to his property, if:

   (a) the seller is engaged in the business of selling such a product, and

   (b) it is expected to and does reach the user or consumer without substantial change in the condition in which it is sold.

(2) The rule stated in Subsection (1) applies although

   (a) the seller has exercised all possible care in the preparation and sale of his product, and

   (b) the user or consumer has not bought the product from or entered into any contractual relation with the seller. Id.

153 Consumer expectation standard depends on whether the article is dangerous to an extent beyond that which would be contemplated by the ordinary consumer who purchases it, with the ordinary knowledge common to the community as to its characteristics. RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 402A cmt. i (1965).

154 Id.


156 Id. at 437.

157 Id. at 437-38.

158 See generally NAT’L OPERATING COMM. ON STANDARDS FOR ATHLETIC EQUIP. (NOCSAE), About NOCSAE: History and Purpose, http://www.nocsae.org/about/history.html [hereinafter NOCSAE History].


manufacturing defects, and adopts a negligence standard for defective design and warning/misinformation defects. Although it may be more difficult to pursue a claim under the new standards, it is not impossible.

In an attempt to decrease labor and insurance costs, the second largest helmet reconditioner, Circle Systems Inc., performed impact tests on fewer helmets than it was required to under NOCSAE guidelines. As a result, about 4,000 helmets were improperly returned and worn by more than 200,000 athletes, from 8 year old youths to Division I college students, in 2005 and 2006. Although it is unclear whether any players were hurt by this company’s failure to subject the helmets to a formal drop-test, NOCSAE data indicates in general, one in every 300 helmets fail this testing procedure. During a drop test, helmets are subjected to strong forces from different directions. The helmets that fail to withstand this applied pressure should be destroyed because they may leave players vulnerable to a fractured skull or a brain hemorrhage. David Drill, former President of Circle Systems, pled guilty in District Court for failure to conduct these tests and to conspiracy to commit mail fraud. The District Attorney on the case issued a statement that some school officials had knowledge of Drill’s actions.

---

161 Id.

162 See generally, NOCSAE History, supra note 157. NOCSAE was formed in 1960 in an attempt to reduce injury. Since 1973, NOCSAE has required that helmets undergo a formal testing procedure and those that pass, must contain a NOCSAE label before they are released into the market. Id. at 32.

NOCSAE: All players shall wear helmets that carry a warning label regarding the risk of injury and a manufacturer’s or reconditioner’s certification indicating satisfaction of NOCSAE test standards. All such reconditioned helmets shall show recertification to indicate satisfaction with the NOCSAE test standard.

---

NOCSAE labels look like: Id.


164 Id.


166 Id.

167 Schwarz, Used Helmets, supra note 162; see also Brian J. Mills, Football Helmets and Products Liability, 8 SPORTS LAW. J. 153, 155-158 (2001).

168 Schwarz, Used Helmets, supra note 162.

169 Schwarz, Guilty Plea, supra note 164.

170 Id. United States Attorney Ralph J. Marra Jr. said, “Drill admitted to submitting hundreds of fake invoices and price quotations, sometimes on competitors’ letterhead; bribing
Although there have been many improvements to helmets in recent decades, in part due to the NOCSAE test standards, problems continue. While the NOSAE standards have helped prevent many injuries, the standards are ineffective in addressing concussions.\textsuperscript{171} While a recent Biokinetics study by the NFL could help change this,\textsuperscript{172} it may also invite litigation.\textsuperscript{173} As a result, manufacturers have been quick to point out that no helmet is “concussion-proof.”\textsuperscript{174}

IV. WHAT VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS ARE DOING ABOUT THIS PROBLEM

A. Congress and State Legislatures

Costing the nation nearly $17 billion each year, MTBIs have been characterized as a national public health issue.\textsuperscript{175} In an attempt to help curtail this epidemic, Congress passed the \textit{Children’s Health Act of 2000}.\textsuperscript{176} This Act delegated responsibilities of research, prevention, and surveillance to the Center for Disease Control (CDC).\textsuperscript{177} The CDC was required to determine the best way to measure the

\textsuperscript{171} Pellman, \textit{supra} note 40.

\textsuperscript{172} See Shelly Anderson, \textit{New Helmet Designs Have Concussions in Mind}, \textit{POST-GAZETTE}, July 28, 2002, \textit{available at} http://www.post-gazette.com/steelers/20020728helmets 0728p4.asp [hereinafter New Helmet Designs]; see also Micky Collins, et al., \textit{Newer Football Helmet Design May Reduce Incidence Of Concussions In High School Players, Shows University Of Pittsburgh Study}, \textit{UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH MED. CTR.}, Jan. 9, 2006, http://www.upmc.com/mediarelations/ newsreleases/2006/pages/collinshelmetstudy2006.aspx. The Revolution helmet was developed and manufactured after several years of biomechanical laboratory testing, by Riddell to reduce the incidence and severity of concussions. \textit{Id.} However, one of the authors of the study is Thad Ide, who employed in research and product development by Riddell, Inc. \textit{Id.} Therefore, the results of the study may be skewed.

\textsuperscript{173} \textit{Id.} This suggests that the results of the study may be skewed. See, e.g., Alan Schwarz, \textit{Studies For Competing Design Called Into Question}, \textit{N.Y. TIMES}, Oct. 27, 2007, \textit{available at} http://www.nytimes.com/2007/10/27/sports/football/27riddell.html?scp=1&sq=Revolution%20helmet&st=cse Although the Journal of Neurology published that Riddel’s newly designed and marketed Revolution helmet reduced concussions by thirty-one percent, the study was commissioned by Riddle, the manufacturer, and the new helmets were tested “against reconditioned traditional models of indeterminate age.” \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{174} Anderson, \textit{New Helmet Designs} \textit{supra} note 171.


incidence and prevalence of MTBI and to report its findings to Congress. In response, the CDC formed the Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Work Group to determine appropriate and feasible methods for assessing the incidence and prevalence of MTBI in the United States. The CDC has provided funding to various universities to learn more about the problems resulting from MTBIs. In addition, the CDC has published extensive materials as a part of its “Head’s Up” Campaign to increase awareness about the dangers of concussions for high school athletes. CDC has put together quite an impressive marketing campaign, with separate materials tailored to physicians, coaches, parents, and players. These materials include informative brochures, posters, videos, and return-to-play guidelines for physicians; all can be ordered free of charge from the CDC website.

In addition to the federal government, individuals are taking action. Shortly after the tragic death of his 17 year old son, who collapsed and died on the sidelines after suffering from a vicious helmet-to-helmet hit two weeks prior, Dick Benson took his concerns to his state’s Senate. Benson urged the Texas state legislature to pass a bill that would require safety and emergency procedures to coaches and trainers, something that may have saved his son. In June of 2007, Will’s Bill, an act that requires every public school coach to be CPR certified and trained in the use of a defibrillator, was signed into law. While the legislation originally applied to public school.
parochial, and private schools, state senator Leticia Van de Putte said the reach of the law had to be reduced “over politics.”\textsuperscript{188} At a shared cost of $300,000 per year\textsuperscript{189} for school districts,\textsuperscript{190} the training places special emphasis on awareness of concussion symptoms for coaches and players.\textsuperscript{191} Although Will’s Bill offers protection to volunteers who follow the state mandates, it does not waive immunity nor does it create liability against a school district or its officers or employees.\textsuperscript{192}

Washington state enacted comparable legislation in July 2009 in response to a similar tragedy.\textsuperscript{193} In 2006, Zackery Lystedt, a 13 year old linebacker collided with another player at a junior high game.\textsuperscript{194} After sitting out for approximately fifteen minutes, Lystedt returned, only to later collapse on the field from a hemorrhaging brain.\textsuperscript{195} Although he is alive today, Lystedt will never lead a normal life.\textsuperscript{196} The state legislature expressly acknowledged that youth athletes are put back on the field prematurely, even though return to play standards for concussions are currently in place.\textsuperscript{197} In response, the state mandated that each school district collaborate with the Washington Interscholastic Activities Association to develop concussion management guidelines and a plan for improved symptom awareness.\textsuperscript{198} The bill requires parents and athletes to sign an information form prior to each season.\textsuperscript{199} Known for its stringent requirements, the law prohibits those under 18, who are suspected of sustaining a concussion, from returning to play without a licensed

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{188} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{189} TEX. DIV. OF POLICY COORDINATION, Fiscal Impact,\textit{ available at} http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/rules/commissioner/proposed/1208/76-1003-ltrprop.html (last visited Aug. 26, 2009). The new rule will require the roughly 6,000 staff to maintain two-year certifications at a cost of about $50 per individual. \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{190} \textit{Id.} “There would be no fiscal implications anticipated for the state.” \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{193} WASH. REV. CODE § 28A.600.190 (2009).
\item \textsuperscript{194} Chantal Anderson, \textit{State Lawmakers Approve Concussion Bills for Young Athletes, THE SEATTLE TIMES, available at} http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/politics/2008838865_concussionbill10m.html.
\item \textsuperscript{195} \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{196} \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{197} WASH. REV. CODE § 28A.600.190(1)(c) (2009).
\item \textsuperscript{198} WASH. REV. CODE § 28A.600.190(2) (2009).
\item \textsuperscript{199} \textit{Id.}
\end{itemize}
health care provider's written approval. In addition, volunteer health care providers who clear an athlete are insulated from civil liability.

B. At the High School Level

National Federation of State High School Association (NFHS) establishes policy for high schools around the nation. State athletic associations follow mandates by the NFHS, but may strengthen certain regulations. To reduce the incidence of injury, the NFHS Football Rules Committee has made several rule changes. Beginning with the 2006-2007 academic year, the Committee mandated that all helmets have a four-snap chin strap, and that all athletes wear colored mouthguards. Although “initial contact with the head while blocking or tackling” has been illegal in high school football since 1977, the Committee has continued to find ways to “take the head out of football.” In 2006, the word “intentional” was removed from the definition of spearing, in an effort to “eliminate[] the official's burden of reading intent into a clearly unsafe act before imposing the appropriate rule infraction penalty.” In addition, NFHS offers “suggestions” when dealing with brain and spinal cord injuries at athletic events. The recommendations include: preseason physicals be administered to all athletes, a physician present at all


204 The Committee is comprised of one voting member from each NFHS state high school association and representatives from the NFHS Coaches Association and NFHS Officials Association. NAT’L FED’N OF STATE HIGH SCHOOL ASS’N (NFHS), Changes Made in Spearing Rule, Uniforms in High School Football, Jan. 31, 2006, available at http://www.nfhs.org/web/2006/01/changes_made_in_spearing_rule_uniforms_in_high_school_football.aspx [hereinafter Changes].

205 Id.

206 Id.

207 Id. at Rule 2-40. See also NCAA, NCAA 2008 FOOTBALL RULES AND INTERPRETATIONS 52 (2008), http://www.ncaapublications.com/uploads/pdf/football_rulesadcd982b5-03fb-4e27-828c-c2d26b95e6c1.pdf [hereinafter Rules]. Spearing is the use of the helmet (including the face mask) in an attempt to punish an opponent. Id.

208 Brad Cashman, executive director of the Pennsylvania Interscholastic Athletic Association and chair of the NFHS Football Rules Committee, explained why “intentional” was removed from the spearing rule. NFHS, supra note 191.

games and practices, exercising and strengthening neck muscles, proper blocking and tackling without the head, and properly fitted helmets. NFHS has designated procedures for handling concussions:

(1) remove athlete from play; (2) ensure that the athlete is evaluated by an appropriate health-care professional and don't try to judge the seriousness of the injury yourself; (3) inform the athlete's parents or guardians about the known or possible concussion and give them the fact sheet on concussion; and (4) allow the athlete to return to play only with permission from an appropriate health-care professional.

With more than seven million participants in high school sports, these recommendations could have lasting effects on the lives of athletes and their families. While many school districts require paramedics and an ambulance to be present during football games, only forty-two percent of high schools in the United States have certified athletic trainers. Bob Colgate, the assistant director of the National Federation of State High School Associations, admitted the main culprit was a lack of funding.

C. At the College Level

The NCAA, originally called Intercollegiate Athletic Association of the United States (IAAUS), originated from President Theodore Roosevelt’s reservations about football. In the early 1900s, the game resulted in many serious injuries and deaths. Roosevelt assembled leaders from 13 collegiate institutions to reform or abolish the game. Consequently, the American Football Rules Committee was formed to make football safer. The Rules Committee implemented changes, including introducing the forward pass, increasing the distance for a first down from five to ten yards, and banning all mass formations and gang tackling.

210 Id.
211 Changes, supra note 203.
213 Schwarz, Silence, supra note 25. See also OHIO HIGH SCH. ATHLETIC ASS’N (OHSAA), 2008 FOOTBALL MANUAL 21 (2008), available at http://www.ohsaa.org/sports/ft/boys/manual.pdf. “There is no OHSAA requirement that physicians, trainers or EMT’s (squad) be present at regular season football games.”
214 Schwarz, Silence, supra note 24. “Budgets are tight… You hate to say that, but it’s a reality.” Id.
216 Id.; see also THEODORE ROOSEVELT ASS’N, President Roosevelt Saves the Game, http://www.theodoro Rooseveltof.org/kidscorner/football.htm. Eighteen players died in 1905 with 20 times fewer players than there are today. Id.
217 NCAA, History, supra note 214.
218 Theodore Roosevelt Ass’n, supra note 215.
219 Id.
Over the years, the NCAA has continued to maintain safety standards and has developed guidelines for recruiting and financial aid. The NCAA Football Rules Committee believes the helmet is “for protection of the player” and has designated using the helmet as a weapon as an unethical practice. The Committee specifies that “intentional helmet-to-helmet contact is never legal, nor is any other blow directed toward an opponent’s head” and directs that “flagrant offenders shall be disqualified.” Although officials reserve the right to eject a player who delivers a helmet-to-helmet hit, “disciplinary measures are rare and difficult to enforce because ... they come from conference offices, and not the NCAA.” University of Hawaii Coach, June Jones, cautioned that the NCAA was “behind” the NFL in dealing with helmet-to-helmet hits and that it is something that needs to be addressed.

He advised that the only way to stop these flagrant fouls was to have conference commissioners review game tapes and suspend athletes.

The increased press on the potential long-term effects of concussions may be one reason that the NCAA enacted rule changes in 2008. According to Rule 9-1-3-a and 9-1-3-b “no player shall initiate contact and target an opponent with the crown of his helmet. No player shall initiate contact and target a defenseless opponent above the shoulders (whether or not with the helmet).” The rule also notes that although rare, “an offensive player can be penalized should he use his helmet to punish a player.” Violation of these rules results in a 15 yard penalty, and if a...

---

220 NCAA, History, supra note 214. These values are demonstrated in its mission statement. The NCAA’s Mission is to “govern competition in a fair, safe, equitable and sportsmanlike manner, and to integrate intercollegiate athletics into higher education so that the educational experience of the student-athlete is paramount.” NCAA.org, Our Mission, available at http://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa/key=/ncaa/NCAA/About%20The%20NCAA/Overview/mission.html (last visited Oct 1, 2009).

221 Id. at 10.

222 See Thamel, supra note 21.

Both Dave Parry, who works with officials in the Big Ten, and Verle Sorgen, who works with the Pac-10 officials, could not recall a specific instance in their conferences in which a player was given a punishment, like a suspension, in the aftermath of an illegal or dangerous hit. (The NFL has commonly fined players this season.) Neither could specifically cite an instance of a player being ejected for a helmet-to-helmet hit in recent years. Id.

224 Id.

225 Id.


227 Rules, supra note 206 (emphasis added).

228 Id.
defensive player is penalized, an offensive first down.\textsuperscript{229} The committee also introduced Rule 9-1-3, which prohibits initiating contact with and targeting a defenseless\textsuperscript{230} opponent.\textsuperscript{231} The sports medicine handbook indicates that these rules are for the protection of both, the player delivering the blow and the player receiving the hit.\textsuperscript{232}

Despite what appears to be an increase in the prevention of concussions in collegiate football athletes, the NCAA ignores other prevention aspects of the game. Even with these rule changes, ball carriers may still be hit helmet-to-helmet with no violation.\textsuperscript{233} Furthermore, in an effort to reduce touchbacks,\textsuperscript{234} NCAA enacted a rule change that would move the kickoff team back five yards to its 30 yard line.\textsuperscript{235} Many have publicly opposed this decision. Former Purdue Coach, Joe Tiller, opposed the rule change, as he said it “seemed contrary to the NCAA’s effort to create a safer game.”\textsuperscript{236} Harold King, ten year trainer for the Atlanta Falcons, expressed his concern about the change.\textsuperscript{237} “I’m … worried about … the concussions from giving these guys five more yards of speed to run into each other and increasing the number of times they can do that, maybe by four to six more returns a game.”\textsuperscript{238}

On-the-field rules are not the NCAA’s only problem. According to Rule 15.3.3.1.1, financial aid may only be awarded on a one year basis, and the student

\textsuperscript{229} Id.

\textsuperscript{230} The rules indicate that a defenseless player is any of the following:
The quarterback moving down the line of scrimmage who has handed or pitched the ball to a teammate, and then makes no attempt to participate further in the play; the kicker who is in the act of kicking the ball, or who has not had a reasonable length of time to regain his balance after the kick; the passer who is in the act of throwing the ball, or who has not had a reasonable length of time to participate in the play after releasing the ball; the pass receiver whose concentration is on the ball; the pass receiver who has clearly relaxed when the pass is no longer catchable; the kick receiver whose attention is on the downward flight of the ball; the kick receiver who has just touched the ball; the player who has relaxed once the ball has become dead; and the player who is obviously out of the play. Rules, supra note 206.

\textsuperscript{231} Id. at 119.

\textsuperscript{232} NCAA, 2008-09 NCAA Sports Medicine Handbook 96 [Hereinafter Handbook].

\textsuperscript{233} See e.g. Rules, supra note 206, at 9, 67-8.

As ball carrier A20 sweeps around the end and heads upfield, he lowers his head and contacts defensive end B89 who is trying to tackle him. The players meet helmet to helmet. Ruling: No foul. Conduct of players and others subject to rules foul. Neither A20 nor B89 is a defenseless player and neither has targeted his opponent in the sense of Rule 9-1-3.” Id.

\textsuperscript{234} Mike Clark, chairman of the rules committee and coach at Bridgewater College (Virginia) stated that the reasoning behind the rule change was to reduce touchbacks, thereby lengthening games without taking time off of the clock. Glier, End Zone, supra note 225.

\textsuperscript{235} Id.

\textsuperscript{236} Id.

\textsuperscript{237} Id.

\textsuperscript{238} Id.
athlete must be informed that the renewal will not be automatic.239 Once an athlete has been injured, the colleges and universities have full latitude to determine whether or not to continue to extend an athletic scholarship.240 Although it is unlikely that this rule will have an actual impact on student-athletes because scholarships may be extended to these individuals and not counted toward the institutional maximums, it remains a possibility.241

Like state high school athletic associations,242 the NCAA has a catastrophic injury insurance (CAT) policy that covers student-athletes injured while participating in a covered intercollegiate athletic activity.243 While the policy covers a maximum lifetime benefit of $20,000,000, it has a $75,000 deductible that must be met prior to any payouts.244 As a part of the White Case Settlement, the NCAA has adopted Proposal 2005-102, a new rule permitting Division I institutions to provide year-round, comprehensive health insurance to student-athletes.245 The settlement also grants permission for universities to “provide basic accident coverage for student-athlete injuries stemming from athletics’ participation,” in addition to the NCAA’s CAT policy.246

The NCAA has laid out criteria for sideline assessment of a possible brain injury, which includes questioning downed-players on the field, documenting all present symptoms, determining whether further medical action is needed, and providing follow-up care.247 Like other athletic organizations, the NCAA focuses on individual concussion management based on baseline neurological testing and a gradual return-


240 NCAA RULE 15.3.3.1.3, 2008-09 NCAA D-1 MANUAL at 180, which states It is not permissible for an institution to assure the prospective student-athlete that it automatically will continue a grant-in-aid past the one-year period if the recipient sustains an injury that prevents him or her from competing in intercollegiate athletics, but an institutional representative may inform the prospective student-athlete of the regular institutional policy related to renewal or continuation of aid past the one-year period for recipients who become ill or injured during their participation. Id.

241 NCAA RULE 15.5.1.3, 2008-09 NCAA D-1 MANUAL at 182, “A counter who becomes injured or ill to the point that he or she apparently never again will be able to participate in intercollegiate athletics shall not be considered a counter beginning with the academic year following the incapacitating injury or illness.” Id.


245 White Case Settlement, supra note 238.

246 Id.

247 See generally Handbook, supra note 231, at 49-52.
to-play process once symptoms have subsided. Although the handbook stresses that “it is essential that no athlete be allowed to return to participation when any symptoms, including mild headache, persist,” the handbook goes on to conclude that “for any injury that involves significant symptoms, long duration of symptoms or difficulties with memory function (either retrograde or antegrade), not be allowed to return to play during the same day of competition.” It would seem that a coach, trainer, or athlete could comply with the NCAA sports medicine standards and choose to return to competition before recovery, as long as it is not within the same day.

D. At the Professional Level

The NFL has implemented several moderate changes in an attempt to decrease head injuries: including fines, baseline testing for effective management of concussions, and “whistle-blower” systems. The NFL also intends to enforce rules requiring players to properly buckle their chin strap, and to distribute a brochure “to players to help educate them and their families about concussions.” In addition, the NFL has shared concussion research with the Department of Defense.

1. Prevention and Management

a. Fines

NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell believes that increased monetary penalties have already made an impact on player safety. After viewing game tapes from the second half of the 2008 season, Goodell commented that the tackling techniques that lead to injury had decreased following the imposition of fines. While the NFL continues to stiffen the financial penalties against players who engage in unnecessarily rough hits, some players have spoken out against the plan. Pittsburgh Steelers’ strong safety Troy Palomalu complained that the fines are more about

248 Id.
249 Id.
250 Id.


252 Id.


254 Id.

255 Id. “We have to do whatever we can to remove any of the techniques and any of the tactics that can unnecessarily risk injury to those players,” he said. "We have very aggressively done that.” Id.
increasing revenue than player safety.\textsuperscript{256} There may be some validity in Palomalu’s argument. Defensive end, Jared Allen has been fined over $90,000 this year for a series of late hits.\textsuperscript{257} Defensive-end Julius Peppers was fined $10,000 in October 2008 for a late hit on Green Bay’s quarterback and again on December 5, 2008, for a helmet-to-helmet hit on Atlanta’s quarterback.\textsuperscript{258} Even if the NFL is concerned about the physical well-being of its players, this may indicate the fines imposed by the NFL are not the most effective means to deter illegal hits.\textsuperscript{259} Palomalu even criticized that football “loses so much of its essence when it becomes … a pansy game” created by less aggressive hits.\textsuperscript{260}

\textit{b. Baseline tests}

Beginning with the 2007 season, the NFL mandated that all players undergo baseline testing.\textsuperscript{261} On June 19, 2007, all 32 teams were ordered to send their doctors and trainers to a meeting in Chicago for the first league-wide concussion summit.\textsuperscript{262} At the conference, Goodell and Dr. Joseph Maroon, the Steelers’ neurological surgeon, mandated a "standard of care" for all teams to adopt: administering a baseline test “before each season and after head trauma occurs.”\textsuperscript{263} “The athletes must return to the ‘before’ standard prior to being allowed to resume play.”\textsuperscript{264} It appears, however, that the NFL has not strictly enforced this regulation.\textsuperscript{265} Some attribute this to the NFL’s perceived desire to base return-to-play decisions solely to increase the bottom line.\textsuperscript{266}


\textsuperscript{258} Id.

\textsuperscript{259} Id.

\textsuperscript{260} Espn, supra note 255.


\textsuperscript{263} Blow Whistle, supra note 250.

\textsuperscript{264} Id.

\textsuperscript{265} See Reiss, supra note 15.

\textsuperscript{266} See e.g. Gary Mihoces, Concussions Force Hard Look Inward Around NFL, USA Today, June 19, 2007, available at http://www.usatoday.com/sports/football/nfl/2007-06-18-concussions-cover_N.htm [hereinafter Inward Look]. Attorney Michael Kaplen, president of the Brain Injury Association of New York State, stated that the NFL is "taking guys and letting them go back to play very shortly after they have this concussion, within the same game. There's no reason for them to return except for the dollars and cents of the league." Id. See generally Justin P. Caldarone, Professional Team Doctors: Money, Prestige, and Ethical
c. Whistleblower system

Goodell announced NFL plans to establish an anonymous “whistle-blower” system in an attempt to ensure that medical concerns override competitive decisions.267 This announcement followed accusations by Ted Johnson, former Patriots’ middle linebacker, that Coach Bill Belichick pushed him to return to the field before he had recovered from a concussion.268 Once a player makes a report, the NFL will conduct investigations to determine whether further action is necessary.269

d. The ’88 Plan’

The ‘88 Plan’ (Plan), named after retired NFL tight-end John Mackey, age 67, provides up to $88,000 a year for nursing care or daycare or $50,000 for in-home care for ex-players who have dementia or Alzheimer’s.270 Sylvia Mackey, John Mackey’s wife, is content with the Plan, and has turned down countless attorneys who would like to bring suit on her husband’s behalf.271 The NFL disclaims any

Dilemmas, 9 Sports L.J. 131, 131-43 (2002) (describing team doctors’ standard of care, the legal implications that arise from the breach of that standard, and potential solutions to avoid future compromises to an athlete’s health for monetary gain).

267 Mihoces, Inward Look, supra note 265. See also Gary Mihoces, NFL Tries to Improve Players’ Understanding of Injury, USA Today, June 18, 2007, available at http://www.usatoday.com/sports/football/nfl/2007-06-18-league-sidebar_N.htm. Goodell stated, “The whistle-blower concept is there to ensure that if anyone feels under pressure or seems under pressure to return to play before they are physically capable, then we will find that out on a confidential basis and look at it.” Gene Upshaw, former executive director of the NFL Players Association and now deceased, also stressed the need to “take the coach out of the decision-making. It’s the medical people that have to decide.” Alan Schwarz, Dark Days Follow Hard-Hitting Career in NFL, N.Y. Times, Feb. 7, 2007, at A1 [hereinafter Dark Days].

268 Schwarz, Dark Days, supra note 266. Ted Johnson made headlines after commenting, “There’s something wrong with my brain. And I know when it started.” Id. At age 34, Johnson was diagnosed with “permanent and degenerative problems with memory and depression” as a result of sustaining repeated concussions. Schwarz, Leaving Brains, supra note 80. Johnson attributes his decline to a concussion he sustained during a game in August 2002. Schwarz, Dark Days, supra note 267. Four days later, Johnson said he sustained another concussion during practice, after Coach Bill Belichick disregarded the trainer’s recommendation, and subjected him to full-contact play. Id. In 2005, Johnson announced his retirement. “I can no longer ignore the severe short- and long-term complications of the concussive head injuries I have sustained over the years.” Patriots.com, Ted Johnson Announces His Retirement, July 28, 2005, http://www.patriots.com/news/index.cfm?ac=latestnewsdetail&pid=12227&pci=47.


270 For Ailing NFL Players, a New Program, INTERNATIONAL HERALD TRIBUNE, March 23, 2007 [hereinafter For Ailing NFL Players]. The monetary amount was originally set at $85,000, but changed to 88, Mackey’s number after his wife, Sylvia, pushed for the program. Id.

responsibility and denies that the conditions may have been exacerbated by injuries sustained while competing. Their motivation instead comes from the “growing population of aging former players.”

NFL spokesman, Greg Aiello, stated “It is a matter of addressing a need, without regard to cause or circumstances.”

The plan was a long-time coming for the families of many former players who have made sacrifices to pay for the needed care of loved ones, due to their declining health. Former players who suffer from dementia do not qualify for the NFL’s disability insurance plan because both the NFL and Players Association do not consider the condition “football-related.” This is contrary to research that indicates a link between football and subsequent problems.

Ninety-seven former players are currently receiving assistance. Dr. Eleanor Perfetto, wife of former lineman Ralph Wenzel, remains skeptical that the Plan will work as designed.

750c9a9619c8b63&sec=health&spon=&scp=2&sq=ted%20johnson%20dementia&st=cse [hereinafter Wives United]. “This is better, because everyone who is affected will benefit, whether they were stars or Hall of Famers or just regular players.” Id.

Id.

For Ailing NFL Players, supra note 269.


The neglect reminded me of covering Appalachia in the early ’70s — the coal industry came up with doctors who insisted coal dust wasn’t so bad. Heck, they said, it might even be a cure for the common cold. Then I ran into a maverick physician from West Virginia who carried around a post-mortem slide of a miner’s lung, which showed the grime and damage from pneumoconiosis, or black lung disease. That cured me of trusting industry medical experts. Id.

Schwarz, Wives United, supra note 270. Dr. Perfetto, a senior director in health policy for Pfizer, said long-term care for her husband, Ralph Wenzel would leave their retirement accounts bankrupt. Id. Wenzel’s monthly pension from the NFL is $925, and the assisted living center where he resides is approximately $65,000 per year. Id. Kay Morris, age 70, works almost full-time to assist with her husband’s medical expenses. Alan Schwarz, N.F.L. Meeting Irks Wives of Ill Retirees, N.Y. TIMES, Dec. 12, 2008, at D4. At 65, Sylvia Mackey was forced to return to work as a flight attendant for United Air Lines because her husband’s pension of $2,450 a month was not adequate to cover their expenses. Schwarz, Wives United, supra note 270. John regularly attends adult day-care at a cost of $76 per day. Id. Sylvia acknowledged that if it wasn’t for the 88 Plan, she would likely have to sell her home. Id. In addition, families may have difficulty finding facilities to house these former athletes because of their size and the propensity for those who suffer from Alzheimer’s to become violent. Id.

Id.

See Omalu, supra note 79. Mr. Wenzel’s neurologist determined “on-field brain trauma was the probable cause of his Alzheimer’s-type dementia.” Schwarz, Wives United, supra note 270. A 2003 study of 2,500 retired NFL players conducted at the University of North Carolina indicated 263 ex-players believed concussions have permanently affected their ability to think and remember as they aged. For Ailing NFL Players, supra note 269.

Vecsey, supra note 273.

Schwarz, Wives United, supra note 270. She shares this skepticism with Sharon Hawkins, wife of former Raiders’ offensive lineman. Id. “Many former NFL players and their families have complained that the league’s disability insurance system is far too strict, with thresholds too high and hurdles too numerous for the deserving to get help.” Id.
Gene Upshaw, former executive director of the NFL Players Association and now deceased, acknowledged these concerns and promised less “red tape” for the ‘88 Plan. However, the ‘88 Plan’ will be determined by the same six-member panel as the existing disability policy. In order to qualify for the funds, players will have to take a baseline test to determine dementia or Alzheimer’s.

e. Recent Studies

A preliminary study commissioned by the NFL and conducted at University of Michigan’s Institute for Social Research, found players aged 30-49 are 19 times more likely to experience Alzheimer’s disease or similar memory-related diseases than the national average, while those over the age of 50 are five times more likely. The NFL has noted that this study is questionable, as it has not been peer reviewed, and was based on questions asked to 1,063 retired players chosen at random via a telephone interview. Despite the NFL’s reservations, the recent findings have caught the interests of Congress. On October 28, 2009, the House Judiciary Committee held a hearing, where the NFL and the Player’s Union were criticized for their minimization of the mounting evidence and that their “solutions” thus far have been inadequate.

V. OPTIONS FOR REFORM

A. Potential Implementations

1. Baseline Tests

The first step in effective management of concussions is to increase the administration of ImPACT or similar baseline tests in high schools and colleges throughout the country. For schools currently using this technology, baseline tests should be administered at least once per year. It is recommended that baseline tests be given every six months to athletes whose ages are 8-15, because the brain is

280 Schwarz, Wives United, supra note 270.
281 Id.
282 Id.
283 Id.
284 6.1 percent compared to 1.2 percent. Id.
285 Id.
287 McCrory et al., Manage in Children, supra note 45 at 517.
cognitively maturing throughout the childhood and adolescent years.288 “Any assessment of baseline or post-injury cognitive function needs to factor in the normal maturation in cognition that is occurring over this period.”289 Once an athlete reaches 15, annual baseline testing would be adequate, as it is in adult athletes.290 However, such regular testing is beyond the financial resources of most schools and individuals.291

2. Identify Athletes with Increased Risk of Concussions

There is evidence that one’s DNA may predict those at greater risk for long-term problems arising from mild head injuries.292 Preliminary data has identified an association between the apolipoprotein Ee4 allele and poor clinical outcomes.293 These findings suggest a possibility to tailor future management practices towards this newly acquired information.294 As more data is obtained on the issue, it may be possible to regulate which positions athletes with this genetic makeup may play.295

3. Properly Fitted, Pressure-Laminated Mouthguards

Preliminary studies show that a properly fitted, pressure-laminated mouthguard may reduce concussive forces applied to the brain.296 The theory is that a properly fitted mouthguard with a posterior separation of 3 to 4 mm, will effectively increase the time and distance, over which acceleration occurs, if an upward blow is delivered to the head through the mandible.297 The disadvantage of pressure-laminated mouthguards is that they can cost in excess of $200.298

288 Id.
289 Id. at 516.
290 Id. at 517.
291 Id.
292 See infra Liberman, note 276.
294 McCrory et al., Manage in Children, supra note 46, at 517.
295 DVD: Heads UP: Reducing the Risk of Head and Neck Injuries in Football (Nat’l Trainers Athletic Ass’n 2006) available at http://www.nata.org/video/headsup/index.htm. Defensive backs are the athletes most prone to head injury in defensive positions. Id. Ball carriers being tackled are the most at risk in offensive positions. Id.
297 Winters, supra note 295.
4. New Helmet Designs

Traditionally, it has been thought that helmets were ineffective in reducing concussions.\textsuperscript{299} Some even believed helmets may increase injury among children.\textsuperscript{300} However, a recent study conducted by the NFL’s Committee on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury offers hope.\textsuperscript{301} The Committee researched the effectiveness of improved helmet design in protection against MTBIs.\textsuperscript{302} The first step was to analyze game tapes from various angles to determine the location of impacts that resulted in concussions.\textsuperscript{303} Laboratory tests were then conducted with dummies to reenact the events leading up to the concussions.\textsuperscript{304} The study concluded that the risks of concussion are greater when hits are “low on the side and back and oblique to the face mask.”\textsuperscript{305} Furthermore, tackling in the head-down position “involves a sixty-seven percent greater mass of the striking player by engaging his torso into the collision.”\textsuperscript{306} These findings did not come as a surprise to industry experts.\textsuperscript{307} With these results in mind, manufacturers have attempted to increase padding on the sides and make helmets and face masks lighter, to enable athletes to keep their head up when fatigued.\textsuperscript{308}

B. Funding

Various non-profit organizations and governmental institutions offer grants to organizations that research head injuries. NOCSAE offers funding for projects and research that may increase “understanding of sports injury mechanisms and injury prevention through the use of protective sports equipment.”\textsuperscript{309} The Traumatic Brain

\textsuperscript{299} McCrory et al., Manage in Children, supra note 45, at 517.

\textsuperscript{300} Id.

\textsuperscript{301} See Pellman, supra note 41.

\textsuperscript{302} Id.

\textsuperscript{303} Id. at 2.

\textsuperscript{304} Id. at 3.

\textsuperscript{305} Id.

\textsuperscript{306} Id. at 4.

\textsuperscript{307} Anderson, New Helmet Designs, supra note 172. Biokinetics president and biomechanical engineer Marc Beusenberg stated, “The helmet wasn't originally designed to protect the side of the head.” Id.

\textsuperscript{308} Id.

\textsuperscript{309} See Preliminary Grant Application Instructions, NOCSAE.org, http://www.nocsae.org/research/application-p.html [hereinafter Grant].
Injury (TBI) Act of 1996\textsuperscript{310} “gave the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) authority to establish a grant program for states to assist it in addressing the needs of individuals with TBI and their families.”\textsuperscript{311} The federal TBI program offers planning\textsuperscript{312} and implementation grants\textsuperscript{313} to states.\textsuperscript{314} From 1997 to 2007, forty-eight states, two territories, and the District of Columbia received at least one state agency grant.\textsuperscript{315} For 2008, forty-seven states, the District of Columbia and one territory have received funding for Implementation Partnership grants.\textsuperscript{316} Although the 2008 budget was $8,910,000, the money went predominately to TBI’s, and not MTBIs.\textsuperscript{317}

While the need for research to understand post-concussive effects continues, the largest obstacle facing reform at the high school level is funding.\textsuperscript{318} If the complaint is that NFL just wants to fatten their pockets by increasing player’s fines,\textsuperscript{319} then perhaps the fines should go directly in a fund for the ‘88 Plan’\textsuperscript{320} or towards baseline tests,\textsuperscript{321} more protective helmets\textsuperscript{322} and mouthguards for youth athletes.\textsuperscript{323} While Congress and state legislatures\textsuperscript{324} have gotten involved, there is much work to be

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{312} Id. “Planning grants are available for up to two years and allow states to build suprastructure through the Program's four core components-establishing a TBI Statewide Advisory Board, identifying of a Lead Agency, conducting a Needs and Resources Assessment, and developing a TBI State Action Plan.” Id.
\item \textsuperscript{313} Implementation grants are available for three years and provide up to $200,000 per year for the purposes of improving access to services for individuals with TBI and their families. Id. In addition, Implementation Partnership grants are available for up to three years and up to $118,600 per year for states and up to $85,000 per year for Territories. Created in April 2006, this category supplants the former Planning, Implementation, and Post-Demonstration grants. These grants allow State agencies to conduct any of the activities of the former grant categories, depending on what needs to be done to expand or improve their systems of services and supports, and what is best suited to the development of a State. Id.
\item \textsuperscript{314} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{315} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{316} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{317} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{318} See Schwarz, Silence, supra note 25.
\item \textsuperscript{319} See Espn, supra note 255.
\item \textsuperscript{320} See supra Section IV.D.1.d.
\item \textsuperscript{321} See supra Section V.A.1.
\item \textsuperscript{322} See supra Section V.A.4.
\item \textsuperscript{323} See supra Section V.A.3.
\item \textsuperscript{324} Id.
\end{itemize}
done. It is vital that we increase funding to public schools to effectively deal with concussion management for our youth.

C. Legal Reform

It is undisputed that the federal government, states, and athletic associations have increased safety procedures and, as a result, reduced injuries. However, there is a continued need to strengthen regulations and increase awareness of this national public health issue. Congress should mandate that all athletes in collision sports undergo annual baseline testing. The requirement should incorporate testing every six months for participants under the age of fifteen. Like The No Child Left Behind Act, this requirement could be tied to federal funding. For example, districts who do not require baseline tests would forego two percent of federal education funding for that fiscal year. States could follow Texas’ lead in enacting safety training programs. In the future, these plans could focus on concussion management and prevention, and be based on the most recent available research. States and the federal government could increase funding for further studies and for the purchase of more protective equipment, including improved helmets and mouthguards. To raise revenue, a small tax could be added to amateur, college and professional athletic tickets. While many districts are unable to afford the cost of having a physician present at athletic events, tax-deductible donations could be used by physicians who volunteer their time on a sideline.

States and athletic organizations could adopt a whistle-blower system for youth and college athletes to anonymously report if they feel pressured to return to the field before they are ready. Because of the “He-man” mentality and lack of knowledge of the symptoms and long-term effects of concussions, young athletes may not be initially receptive to the system. However, through a continued increase of education and positive role models, this may change. Another viable option may be to develop a hotline for troubled athletes to call if they are concerned they might have a concussion.

325 See McCrory et al., Manage in Children supra note 287.
326 Id.
330 See supra Section V.A.4; see also Mueller, supra notes 208-09.
331 See supra Section V.A.3; see also Mueller, supra notes 208-09.
332 See Schwarz, Silence, supra note 214; see also Mueller, supra notes 208-09.
333 See supra Section IV.D.1.c.
334 See Schwarz, Silence, supra note 62; see also Glier, Church, supra note 63.
335 Schwarz, Silence, supra note 25 and accompanying notes 59-61; see also Davis, supra note 109 at 576-79.
336 See Reiss, supra note 16.
Organizations should become stricter when handling rule violations that occur during competition. Athletes who engage in conduct that typically results in head injury, particularly helmet-to-helmet and “head-down” tackling, could be ejected from a game for their first offense. For continued violations, offenders could face game suspensions, season suspensions and ultimately be banned from competition. Surveys indicate kids will do anything to stay in the game. Being sidelined due to violations of safety rules may be an effective deterrent.

Courts should adopt a “know or reason to know” standard for coaches and parents who purposely disregard or neglect to see the warning signs of concussions and allow their children to continue playing. This “reason to know” standard could be borrowed from agency principles. A person has “reason to know” a fact if they could figure out that fact “on the basis of inferences reasonably to be drawn from [other] facts known to [the person.]” Although an argument may be made that this higher standard would “chill athletic competition,” this opposition would be unfounded. Here, liability would not be imposed based on a simple rule violation but because of a disregard for the athlete’s safety. This gross deviation of care should be enough to overcome statutory governmental immunity as it should be characterized as “an extreme departure from the ordinary standard of conduct.”

If it can be proven that a coach or trainer knew or had “reason to know” that a participant continued to play through symptoms of a concussion, then school districts and universities that hire these individuals should be also accountable for their coach’s actions. It is imperative that society hold these individuals and institutions accountable as well to deter future neglect. Such imputation “creates incentives for a [school district and university] to choose agents carefully,” and “encourages a [school district and university] to develop effective procedures” for communication. While head injuries are an “inherent” part of collision sports, continued play despite the injury does not have to be.

337 See NCAA, Rules, supra note 206, at 10.
338 Pellman, supra note 289.
339 See Thamel, supra notes 210-12.
340 See Schwarz, Silence, supra note 25.
341 See Restatement of the Law (Second) of Agency § 5.01 cmt. b (1958).
342 Id.
343 Id.
344 See discussion supra note 112 (citing Bowman, 853 N.E.2d at 992; Gauvin, 537 N.E.2d at 96; Ross, 637 S.W.2d at 14).
345 See discussion supra note 115 (citing Karas, 884 N.E.2d at 132).
346 See discussion supra note 130 (citing Henney, 2006 WL 747475, at *4 -*7).
348 Restatement of the Law (Second) of Agency § 5.01 cmt. b (1958).
349 Id.
350 See discussion supra note 115 (citing Karas, 884 N.E.2d at 132).
It appears that organizations are moving in the right direction, however, there is room for improvement. One thing is clear, aggressive changes must be implemented. The future of collision sports may depend upon it.

VI. CONCLUSION

The media has brought increased awareness to the issue of MTBIs occurring in sports. In contrast to the decline of other serious injuries over the past ten years, the incidence of concussions has doubled. While spotlighted by replays of vicious helmet-to-helmet hits leaving athletes unconscious on NFL Sundays, for every concussion that occurs at the professional sports level, there are tens of thousands of injuries in high schools across the United States. Conservative estimates indicate that more than 300,000 sport-related concussions occur each year in the United States. Concussions sustained during the period of cognitive maturation is particularly damaging to the young, developing brain. As compared to their professional counterparts, high school athletes typically receive less capable medical care or none at all.

Robert Sallis, President of the American College of Sports Medicine has designated poor management of high school player’s concussions a “matter of public health.”

Costing the nation approximately $17 billion each year, the government and various organizations have gotten involved in an attempt to help curtail this epidemic. Congress delegated increasing awareness of concussion symptoms and finding methods of prevention to the CDC. States have enacted legislation requiring emergency procedures and safety training in high schools. NCAA and NFHS have enacted rule changes to reduce vicious hits that often result in head injuries. The NFL has increased fines for illegal hits, mandated that all players
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have "baseline" tests of brain function to determine readiness to play after a concussion, 367 established an anonymous whistle-blower system, 368 and implemented an ‘88 Plan’ to help players and ex-players cope with the costs of dementia. 369 Various non-profit organizations offer grants for projects that may increase the understanding of sports injury mechanisms and injury prevention through the use of protective sports equipment. 370

The high cost of MTBIs may be attributed to litigation expenses. 371 Head injuries often result in lawsuits by injured athletes, their families and/or estates under theories of negligence, strict liability, and breach of implied or express warranties against other players, coaches, school districts, sports associations, athletic trainers, physicians, helmet manufacturers, helmet reconditioners, and retailers. 372 To shield themselves from liability, defendants often rely on assumption of risk and sovereign immunity. 373 Courts generally apply a recklessness standard to sports activities, rather than a negligence standard, because to hold otherwise would likely curtail athletic competition. 374 Because of heightened standards, it has been difficult for plaintiffs to prevail on injuries “inherent” in the sport. 375

While the federal government, states, and athletic associations have reduced injuries by increasing safety procedures, there is a continued need to strengthen regulations and increase awareness of this national public health issue. 376 Congress should require all athletes in collision sports to undergo baseline testing at least once per year. 377 States and the federal government should increase funding for further studies and for the purchase of more protective equipment. 378 A whistle-blower system should be established for youth and college athletes. 379 State athletic associations and sports organizations should increase penalties for rule violations to discourage individuals from violating safety regulations. 380 Courts should adopt a
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“know or reason to know” standard, borrowed from agency principles, for coaches and parents who ignore the warning signs of concussions and permit their child to continue playing. 381 This gross deviation of care should be enough to overcome statutory governmental immunity 382 as it should be characterized as “an extreme departure from the ordinary standard of conduct.” 383 If it can be proven that a coach or trainer knew or had “reason to know” that a participant continued to play through symptoms of a concussion, then school districts and universities that hire these individuals should be also accountable for their coach’s actions. 384 Although a head injury is an “inherent” 385 part of football, poor concussion management and lack of understanding about the injury does not have to be. By implementing some of the before mentioned suggestions for reform, 386 we will be one step closer to preventing and effectively managing concussions.

381 See RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW (SECOND) OF AGENCY § 5.01 cmt b (1958), supra note 342.

382 See discussion supra note 130 (citing Henney v. Shelby City Sch. Dist. 2006 WL 747475 at *4 -*7).

383 See Decker, 257 Cal. Rptr. at 363.

384 See RESTATEMENT AGENCY, supra notes 347-48.

385 See discussion supra note 115 (citing Karas v. Strevell, 884 N.E.2d 122, 132 (Ill. 2008)).

386 See supra Section V.